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#### Abstract

A simple phenomenological theory of the hard-square lattice gas is obtained by analyzing a low-order corner transfer matrix variational approximation. The free energy is of Landau type and expressions are obtained for the order parameter and densities. In this approximation, the model exhibits a critical point at $z_{c}=4(3+2 \sqrt{ } 3) / 9$ with critical exponents given by the classical values: $\alpha=0_{\text {disc }}, \beta=1 / 2, \gamma=1, \delta=3$.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The hard-square lattice gas is perhaps the simplest model in statistical mechanics to exhibit a solid-fluid phase transition. ${ }^{(1)}$ Unlike its cousin the hard-hexagon model, ${ }^{(2)}$ the hard-square model has not yielded to exact solution. Nevertheless, a great deal is known about hard squares from analytic, series, and numerical work ${ }^{(3)}$ and the model is expected to undergo a second-order phase transition at an activity $z_{c} \approx 3.7962$ and a density $\rho_{c} \approx 0.368$ with Ising critical exponents $\alpha=0_{\log }, \beta=1 / 8, \gamma=7 / 4$, $\delta=15$.

In studying lattice models it is customary to start with mean-field theory. This simple theory typically gives a qualitatively correct and useful description of the thermodynamic behavior. Its phase diagrams generally exhibit single-phase regions, coexistence manifolds, critical manifolds, and so on with the correct topology even though the predicted classical critical exponents are wrong. Mean-field theory, however, is a single-site approximation and cannot correctly incorporate the near-neighbor exclusions of hard-core lattice gases. For these models a viable and much

[^0]improved variational scheme is offered by the corner transier matrix formalism. ${ }^{(4,5)}$ In fact, corner transfer matrices provide a sequence of variational approximations that converge rapidly to the exact results. So, very good numerical estimates of noncritical thermodynamic properties can be obtained for a wide range of lattice models, including models in more than two dimensions. ${ }^{(6)}$

In this paper we analyze the hard-square lattice gas in a low-order variational approximation derived from corner transfer matrices. This gives a relatively simple and thermodynamically consistent classical theory of hard squares. In particular, the problems associated with studying this model in a mean-field approximation do not arise. Section 2 describes the hard-square model and the variational approximation. In Sections 3 and 4 the lowest order approximation is solved in the presence and absence of a symmetry-breaking field. The critical behavior is discussed in Section 5. Throughout, the algebra was carried out using the symbolic manipulation program Reduce.

## 2. THE MODEL AND VARIATIONAL EQUATIONS

The hard-square lattice gas is an interaction-round-a-face or IRF model. ${ }^{(7)}$ The Boltzmann weights of allowed configurations around a square face ( $i, j, k, l$ ) with the sites starting at the bottom left and going anticlockwise, are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{k}, \sigma_{l}\right)=z^{\left(\sigma_{i}+\sigma_{j}+\sigma_{k}+\sigma_{l}\right) / 4} e^{k\left(\lambda_{i} \sigma_{i}+\lambda_{j} \sigma_{j}+i_{k} \sigma_{k}+\lambda_{l} \sigma_{l}\right) / 4} \chi\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{k}, \sigma_{l}\right) \tag{2.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\sigma_{i}=0,1$ is the spin or occupation number of lattice site $i, z \geqslant 0$ is the activity, $k \geqslant 0$ is the sublattice symmetry-breaking field, and

$$
\lambda_{i}= \begin{cases}+1, & i \in \mathscr{L}_{1}  \tag{2.1b}\\ -1, & i \in \mathscr{L}_{2}\end{cases}
$$

where $\mathscr{L}_{1}$ and $\mathscr{L}_{2}$ are the two sublattices of the square lattice $\mathscr{L}$. The nearest neighbor exclusion is enforced by the characteristic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{k}, \sigma_{l}\right)=\left(1-\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}\right)\left(1-\sigma_{j} \sigma_{k}\right)\left(1-\sigma_{k} \sigma_{l}\right)\left(1-\sigma_{l} \sigma_{i}\right) \tag{2.1c}
\end{equation*}
$$

The partition function of hard squares is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{N}=\sum_{\sigma(i, j, k, l)} \prod_{i} W\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{k}, \sigma_{l}\right) \tag{2.2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over all values of the occupation numbers and the product is over all $N$ faces of the lattice $\mathscr{L}$. The bulk properties of the model are determined in the thermodynamic limit by the partition function per face

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} Z_{N}^{1 / N} \tag{2.2b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Variational approximations to $\kappa$, using corner transfer matrices, have been introduced by Baxter et al. ${ }^{(4,5)}$ For convenience we summarize their results in this section.

Corner and half-row transfer matrices are defined on a finite lattice relative to a fixed ground-state boundary condition. For hard squares there are two competing ground states, $\sigma_{i}=\left(1+\lambda_{i}\right) / 2$ for all $i \in \mathscr{L}$ and $\sigma_{i}=$ $\left(1-\lambda_{i}\right) / 2$ for all $i \in \mathscr{L}$, corresponding to complete occupation of one of the two independent sublattices. We set the boundary spins equal to $\sigma_{i}=$ $\left(1+\lambda_{i}\right) / 2$ favoring occupation of the sublattice $\mathscr{L}_{1}$. A corner or quadrant of a square lattice with corner spin $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{1}^{\prime}$ and edge spins $\sigma=\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{m}\right\}$ and $\sigma^{\prime}=\left\{\sigma_{1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{m}^{\prime}\right\}$ can occur with the corner spin on either of the two sublattices, as shown in Fig. 1. If the corner lies on sublattice $\mathscr{L}_{1}$, a corner transfer matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is defined by
where the sum is over the $(m-1)^{2}$ interior spins and the product is over the $m^{2}$ faces. The normalizing constant $\alpha$, which cancels out of the


Fig. 1. Lattice quadrants of $m^{2}$ faces corresponding to the corner transfer matrices $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$. The boundary spins are set to their ground-state values. The sites of the preferred sublattice $\mathscr{L}_{1}$ are shown by filled circles.
variational equations, is chosen so that $\mathbf{A}$ tends to a limiting infinite-dimensional matrix ${ }^{(7)}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, if the corner lies on the sublattice $\mathscr{L}_{2}$, a corner transfer matrix $\mathbf{B}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(\sigma \mid \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\beta^{-1} \delta\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right) \sum_{\substack{\text { interior } \\ \text { spins }}} \prod_{\text {faces }} W\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}, \sigma_{k}, \sigma_{l}\right) \tag{2.3b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the Kronecker delta

$$
\delta\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \sigma_{1}=\sigma_{1}^{\prime}  \tag{2.3c}\\ 0, & \sigma_{1} \neq \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

the matrices $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are block diagonal with blocks $\mathbf{A}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$ and $\mathbf{B}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{A}(0) & \mathbf{0}  \tag{2.4}\\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}(1)
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{B}(0) & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{B}(1)
\end{array}\right]
$$

The half-row transfer matrix $\mathbf{F}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\sigma \mid \sigma^{\prime}\right)=\gamma^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{m} W\left(\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_{i+1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{m+1}$ and $\sigma_{m+1}^{\prime}$ are set to their ground-state values as shown in Fig. 2. The face weights $W$, given by (2.1), are invariant under reflections about the diagonals of the face and are also invariant under rotations through $90^{\circ}$ about one of the corners. It follows that all four quadrants with a common corner correspond to the same symmetric corner transfer matrix $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}^{T}$ or $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{B}^{T}$. In general, however, the half-row transfer matrix $\mathbf{F}$ is a nonsymmetric matrix $\left(\mathbf{F}^{T} \neq \mathbf{F}\right)$ with blocks $\mathbf{F}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and the block structure

$$
\mathbf{F}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{F}(0,0) & \mathbf{F}(0,1)  \tag{2.6}\\
\mathbf{F}(1,0) & \mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right]
$$



Fig. 2. Half-row of $m$ faces corresponding to the transfer matrix $\mathbf{F}$. The boundary spins $\sigma_{m+1}$ and $\sigma_{m+1}^{\prime}$ are set to their ground-state values. The sites of the preferred sublattice $\mathscr{L}_{1}$ are shown by filled circles.

The variational expression for the hard-square partition function per face $\kappa$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa^{2}=\max _{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{F}} \frac{\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2} \kappa_{4}^{2}}{\kappa_{3}^{4}} \tag{2.7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa_{1}= & \sum_{\sigma_{1}} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{A}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)^{4} \\
\kappa_{2}= & \sum_{\sigma_{1}} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{B}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)^{4} \\
\kappa_{3}= & \sum_{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{A}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)^{2} \mathbf{F}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \mathbf{B}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)^{2} \mathbf{F}\left(\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{1}\right)^{T} \\
\kappa_{4}= & \sum_{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{4}} W\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{4}\right) \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{A}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \mathbf{F}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \mathbf{B}\left(\sigma_{2}\right) \\
& \times \mathbf{F}\left(\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)^{T} \mathbf{A}\left(\sigma_{3}\right) \mathbf{F}\left(\sigma_{3}, \sigma_{4}\right) \mathbf{B}\left(\sigma_{4}\right) \mathbf{F}\left(\sigma_{4}, \sigma_{1}\right)^{T} \tag{2.7b}
\end{align*}
$$

and the maximum is taken with respect to variations in the matrices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$, and $\mathbf{F}$. This variational principle is represented graphically in Fig. 3. From the form of the variational principle it is clear that $\kappa$ is independent of the normalization constants $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$. The conditions for $\kappa$ to be stationary are given by the matrix equations


Fig. 3. Graphical representation of the corner transfer matrix variational principle (2.7).

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{\sigma_{2}} \mathbf{F}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \mathbf{B}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)^{2} \mathbf{F}\left(\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{1}\right)^{T}=\xi \mathbf{A}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)^{2} \\
\sum_{\sigma_{2}} \mathbf{F}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)^{T} \mathbf{A}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)^{2} \mathbf{F}\left(\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{1}\right)=\xi^{\prime} \mathbf{B}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)^{2} \\
\sum_{\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}} W\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{4}\right) \mathbf{F}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \mathbf{B}\left(\sigma_{2}\right) \mathbf{F}\left(\sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)^{T} \mathbf{A}\left(\sigma_{3}\right) \mathbf{F}\left(\sigma_{3}, \sigma_{4}\right) \\
=\eta \mathbf{A}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \mathbf{F}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{4}\right) \mathbf{B}\left(\sigma_{4}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\kappa^{2}=\eta^{2} / \xi \xi^{\prime}$. These self-consistency equations are illustrated graphically in Fig. 4.

The densities of the hard-square model are readily found by differentiating (2.7) and using (2.8). This gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho=z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \ln \kappa=\frac{\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}}{2}  \tag{2.9a}\\
& R=2 \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \ln \kappa=\rho_{1}-\rho_{2} \tag{2.9b}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 4. Graphical representation of the self-consistency equations (2.8).
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{1}=\frac{\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{A}(1)^{4}}{\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{A}(0)^{4}+\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{A}(1)^{4}}  \tag{2.9c}\\
& \rho_{1}=\frac{\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{B}(1)^{4}}{\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{B}(0)^{4}+\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{B}(1)^{4}} \tag{2.9~d}
\end{align*}
$$

are the sublattice densities.

## 3. THE LOWEST ORDER APPROXIMATION

In the lowest order approximation the block matrices $\mathbf{A}\left(\sigma_{1}\right), \mathbf{B}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$, and $\mathbf{F}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ are all scalars. Furthermore, since the variational equations (2.7) and (2.8) are independent of the normalization constants $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$, the matrices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$, and $\mathbf{F}$ can be scaled so that

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0  \tag{3.1}\\
0 & a
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{F}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & t \\
s & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The variational principle then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa^{2}=\max _{a, b, s, t} \frac{\left(1+a^{4}\right)\left(1+b^{4}\right)\left[1+2 w\left(v a s^{2}+v^{-1} b t^{2}\right)+w^{2}\left(v^{2} a^{2} s^{4}+v^{-2} b^{2} t^{4}\right)\right]^{2}}{\left(1+a^{2} s^{2}+b^{2} t^{2}\right)^{4}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w=z^{1 / 4}$ and $v=e^{k / 4}$. Similarly, the stationary equations (2.8) become

$$
\begin{align*}
1+b^{2} t^{2} & =\xi \\
s^{2} & =\xi a^{2} \\
1+a^{2} s^{2} & =\xi^{\prime} \\
t^{2} & =\xi^{\prime} b^{2}  \tag{3.3}\\
1+w\left(v a s^{2}+v^{-1} b t^{2}\right) & =\eta \\
w v s+w^{2} v^{2} a s^{3} & =\eta a s \\
w v^{-1} t+w^{2} v^{-2} b t^{3} & =\eta b t
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating $\xi, \xi^{\prime}$, and $\eta$ now gives

$$
\begin{align*}
1+b^{2} t^{2} & =a^{-2} s^{2} \\
1+a^{2} s^{2} & =b^{-2} t^{2} \\
\frac{s t}{a b} \kappa & =1+w\left(v a s^{2}+v^{-1} b t^{2}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& =w v a^{-1}+w^{2} v^{2} s^{2}=w v^{-1} b^{-1}+w^{2} v^{-2} t^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.4) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2}=\frac{w-v b}{w v a\left(v^{-1} a+v b-w\right)}, \quad t^{2}=\frac{w-v^{-1} a}{w v^{-1} b\left(v^{-1} a+v b-w\right)} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting into (3.2) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa^{2} & =\max _{a, b} \frac{\left(1+a^{4}\right)\left(1+b^{4}\right)}{\left[2 z^{-1 / 4}\left(e^{-k / 4} a+e^{k / 4} b\right)-2 z^{-1 / 2} a b-1\right]^{2}} \\
& =\max _{x, y} \frac{\left(1+z e^{k} x^{4}\right)\left(1+z e^{-k} y^{4}\right)}{[2(x+y]-2 x y-1]^{2}} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x=a / w v, y=v b / w$, and the maximum is taken over the domain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{D}=\{(x, y): x \leqslant 1, y \leqslant 1, \text { and } x+y \geqslant 1\} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

to ensure that $s^{2}$ and $t^{2}$ are positive. Differentiating (3.6) or using (3.4), we find that the maximum in the required region occurs for $x$ and $y$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=\frac{z e^{k}\left(x^{4}-x^{3}\right)-1}{z e^{k}\left(x^{4}-2 x^{3}\right)-1}=F(x ; z, k)  \tag{3.8a}\\
& x=\frac{z e^{-k}\left(y^{4}-y^{3}\right)-1}{z e^{-k}\left(y^{4}-2 y^{3}\right)-1}=F(y ; z,-k) \tag{3.8b}
\end{align*}
$$

The sublattice densities are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}=\frac{z e^{k} x^{4}}{1+z e^{k} x^{4}}, \quad \rho_{2}=\frac{z e^{-k} y^{4}}{1+z e^{-k} y^{4}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating the first form for $\kappa$ in (3.6) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}=\frac{x-y}{2(x+y)-2 x y-1}  \tag{3.10a}\\
& \rho=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{x+y-2 x y}{2(x+y)-2 x y-1} \tag{3.10b}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, using (3.8a) to eliminate $y$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=\frac{x-1-z e^{k}\left(x^{5}-3 x^{4}+x^{3}\right)}{1+z e^{k} x^{4}}  \tag{3.11a}\\
& \rho=\frac{1}{2} \frac{z e^{k}\left(x^{5}-x^{4}+x^{3}\right)-x+1}{1+z e^{k} x^{4}} \tag{3.11b}
\end{align*}
$$

If the sublattice symmetry-breaking field is positive $(k>0)$, then Eqs. (3.8) admit a unique solution in the domain $\mathscr{D}$ with $x>y$ and $\rho_{1}>\rho_{2}$. However, in the case of sublattice symmetry ( $k=0$ ), the solution of (3.8) that maximizes (3.6) at large activities is not unique and leads to spontaneous symmetry breaking $\left(x \neq y, \rho_{1} \neq \rho_{2}\right)$ and a phase transition.

## 4. ZERO-FIELD SOLUTION

In this section we consider the solution of the stationary equations (3.8) in zero symmetry-breaking field ( $k=0$ ). In this case the stationary equations can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=f(y), \quad y=f(x) \tag{4.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{z\left(x^{4}-x^{3}\right)-1}{z\left(x^{4}-2 x^{3}\right)-1} \tag{4.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $x$ and $y$ are both solutions of the iterated mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=f(f(x)) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to the polynomial equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[(1+z) z^{2} x^{8}-(4+z) z^{2} x^{7}+4 z^{2} x^{6}+z^{2} x^{5}-2 z(1+z) x^{4}+4 z x^{3}-z x+1\right]} \\
& \quad \times\left(z x^{5}-3 z x^{4}+z x^{3}-x+1\right)\left(z x^{4}-2 z x^{3}+z x^{2}-1\right)=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

One solution is the symmetric fixed-point solution $x=y$ with $1 / 2 \leqslant x \leqslant 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=f(x) \tag{4.4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
z x^{5}-3 z x^{4}+z x^{3}-x+1=0 \tag{4.4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

For small activities ( $z \leqslant z_{c}$, where $z_{c}$ will be given below), this is the unique solution to (4.1) in $\mathscr{D}$ and it yields the maximum in (3.6) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\frac{1+z x^{4}}{4 x-2 x^{2}-1} \tag{4.5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=\frac{z x^{4}}{1+z x^{4}} \tag{4.5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives the complete solution in the fluid phase.

At a critical activity $\left(z=z_{c}\right)$, the fixed-point solution bifurcates into a two-cycle as shown in Fig. 5. This bifurcation occurs when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}=-1 \tag{4.6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
z x^{4}-4 z x^{3}+3 z x^{2}-1=0 \tag{4.6b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving Eqs. (4.4b) and (4.6b) for the critical values of $x$ and $z \geqslant 0$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{c}=4(3+2 \sqrt{3}) / 9 \approx 2.8729, \quad x_{c}=(3-\sqrt{3}) / 2 \approx 0.634 \tag{4.7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence at the critical point

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=\rho_{c}=(3-\sqrt{3}) / 4 \approx 0.317, \quad \kappa_{c}=2 \tag{4.7b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Above the critical point $\left(z>z_{c}\right)$, the two-cycle maximizes $\kappa$ and the sublattice symmetry is spontaneously broken. In this case both $x$ and $y$ are solutions of
$(1+z) z^{2} x^{8}-(4+z) z^{2} x^{7}+4 z^{2} x^{6}+z^{2} x^{5}-2 z(1+z) x^{4}+4 z x^{3}-z x+1=0$


Fig. 5. Zero-field solutions $x$ and $y$ which maximize the partition function per site $k$. The symmetric solution with $x=y$ bifurcates into two asymmetric solutions at the critical point $z=z_{c}$. The maximum of $\kappa$ is taken over the shaded domain $\mathscr{D}$.
with $x \neq y$ related to each other by (4.1). Since $x$ and $y$ can be freely interchanged, we take $x>y$ so that $\rho_{1}>\rho_{2}$, corresponding to the solid phase with the sublattice $\mathscr{L}_{1}$ preferentially occupied. In this case the order parameter $R$ and the density $\rho$ are given by (3.11) with $k=0$.

The value of $z_{c}$ in (4.7a) is that obtained for the numerical solution of the two-by-two truncation of the corner transfer matrix equations by Baxter et al. ${ }^{(5)}$ For higher truncations they give the results 3.4575 (three-by-three) and 3.7066 (five-by-five), indicating the rapid convergence of this sequence of approximations. The most accurate result obtained by series expansions ${ }^{(3)}$ is $z_{c}=3.7962$.

## 5. CRITICAL BEHAVIOR

In this section we show that the critical exponents for the lowest order variational approximation to hard squares are given by $\alpha=0_{\text {disc }}, \beta=1 / 2$, $\gamma=1, \delta=3$. These classical values are expected to hold for all variational approximations obtained by finite truncation of the corner transfer matrices.

Let $\Delta x=x-x_{c}, \Delta z=z-z_{c}$, and expand $k$ given by (3.6) to fourth order in $\Delta x$ about the critical point $z=z_{c}, k=0, x=x_{c}$. Using (3.8a) to eliminate $y$ then leads to the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln (\kappa / 2)=\max _{\Delta x}\left[\Psi_{0}+\Psi_{1} \Delta x+\Psi_{2}(\Delta x)^{2}+\Psi_{3}(\Delta x)^{3}+\Psi_{4}(\Delta x)^{4}\right] \tag{5.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, to leading orders in $\Delta z$ and $k$, the coefficients are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Psi_{0} \sim\left(\psi_{0}+\psi_{0}^{\prime} k+\psi_{0}^{\prime \prime} \Delta z\right) \Delta z \\
\Psi_{1} \sim\left[\psi_{1} k+\psi_{1}^{\prime}(\Delta z)^{2}\right]  \tag{5.1b}\\
\Psi_{2} \sim \psi_{2} \Delta z, \quad \Psi_{3} \sim \psi_{3} \Delta z, \quad \Psi_{4} \sim \psi_{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\psi_{0}, \psi_{0}^{\prime}, \psi_{0}^{\prime \prime}, \psi_{1}, \psi_{1}^{\prime}, \psi_{2}, \psi_{3}$, and $\psi_{4}$ are nonzero constants.
In particular, differentiating (5.1a) with respect to $x$ gives the cubic stationary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1} k+\psi_{1}^{\prime}(\Delta z)^{2}+2 \psi_{2} \Delta z \Delta x+3 \psi_{3} \Delta z(\Delta x)^{2}+4 \psi_{4}(\Delta x)^{3}=0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In zero field $(k=0)$, the three roots of this equation to leading order are

$$
\Delta x=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(-\psi_{1}^{\prime} / 2 \psi_{2}\right) \Delta z  \tag{5.3}\\
\pm\left(-\psi_{2} / 2 \psi_{4}\right)^{1 / 2}(\Delta z)^{1 / 2}, \quad \Delta z>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The first root gives the solution in the disordered phase ( $\Delta z<0$ ); the other two solutions maximize $\kappa$ in the ordered phase ( $\Delta z>0$ ).

The order parameter $R$ is obtained by differentiating (5.1a) with respect to $k$. Setting $k=0$ thus gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \sim 2 \psi_{1} \Delta x+2 \psi_{0}^{\prime} \Delta z \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The order parameter $R$ is zero in the disordered phase, since we find $\psi_{1} \psi_{1}^{\prime}=2 \psi_{0}^{\prime} \psi_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \sim(\Delta z)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { as } \quad z \rightarrow z_{c}^{+} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $\beta=1 / 2$. Differentiating (5.4) and (5.2) with respect to $k$, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left.\frac{\partial R}{\partial k}\right|_{k=0} \sim 2 \psi_{1} \frac{\partial x}{\partial k}\right|_{k=0} & \sim \frac{-2 \psi_{1}^{2}}{2 \psi_{2} \Delta z+6 \psi_{3} \Delta z \Delta x+12 \psi_{4}(\Delta x)^{2}} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
\frac{-2 \psi_{1}^{2}}{2 \psi_{2} \Delta z}, & \Delta z<0, \\
\frac{-2 \psi_{1}^{2}}{2\left(\psi_{2}+6 \psi_{4}\right) \Delta z}, & \Delta z>0
\end{array}\right. \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\gamma=1$. Similarly, setting $z=z_{c}$ in (5.4) and (5.2) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \sim 2 \psi_{1} \Delta x \sim 2 \psi_{1}\left(\frac{\psi_{1} k}{4 \psi_{4}}\right)^{1 / 3} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $\delta=3$. Finally, substituting (5.3) into (5.1) with $k=0$, we find that at $z=z_{c}$ there is a jump discontinuity in

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}} \ln \kappa \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\alpha=0_{\text {disc }}$.
The establishes the classical values for the critical exponents.
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